



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/597,403	06/21/2000	Takahiro Moro	862.C1931	7168
5514 7	7590 12/10/2004	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112			BRINICH, STEPHEN M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			DATE MAILED: 12/10/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

· ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summan	09/597,403	MORO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Stephen M Brinich	2624			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 O	<u>ctober 2004</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 87-107 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 87-107 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2624

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 87-107 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shin in view of Applicant's admitted Prior Art.

Re claims 87-88, 93-94, 99-100, & 105-107, Shin discloses

(Abstract lines 7-11; column 5, lines 26-60; column 6, line 36
column 7, line 37; column 9, lines 45-64; Figures 5-6) a printer

driver in which a plurality of color correction look-up tables

corresponding to a plurality of respective conditions (i.e.

various media types) are stored in a database. A list of these

conditions is displayed to a user (Figure 6), and one of them is

selected for the current operation. After this selection is

made, a color correction process is carried out by using the

color correction look-up table corresponding to that selection.

Shin does not disclose that the correspondence relationship between the conditions (media types "PLAIN", "PREMIUM COATED PAPER", "TRANSPARENCY", etc) and the plurality of color correction look-up tables (86, 96, 98, 100) is stored in a second table. The storage of this relationship in some form is inherent to the described operation of Shin, which selects one

of these color correction look-up tables based upon this selection of media type (column 9, lines 53-60). The storage of this relationship in the form of a table, similar to the disclosed tables for storing each individual set of color correction information, would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The suggestion/motivation for doing so would have been to simplify the Shin data handling system by avoiding the use of two different mechanisms for handling one-to-one correspondence data.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to use a second table to store the correspondence relationship between the conditions (media types) and the plurality of color correction look-up tables to obtain the invention as specified in claims 87-88, 93-94, 99-100, & 105-107.

Re claims 87-89, Shin further discloses (Figure 1) the use of this printer driver in the form of a computer program (which must inherently be stored on a computer readable medium to operate) that generates an output that is sent to a printer.

Re claims 89, 95, & 101, a (not further specified) process of "color conversion" according to a lookup table is not patentably distinct from a recitation of "color correction" according to a lookup table, inasmuch as each is the replacement

Art Unit: 2624

of an input color with an output color according to a defined scheme embodied in the lookup table.

Re claims 90, 96, & 102, Shin further discloses (column 9, lines 3-24) the use of a color correction table for the K (black/grayscale) color component.

Re claims 91, 97, 103, & 105-107, Shin further discloses (column 9, lines 18-20) a halftone processor to quantize color levels, but does not describe the use of a lookup table for this quantization. The use of a lookup table selected according to print mode from a set of lookup tables for color level quantization is recognized as known Prior Art by Applicant (page 1, line 25 - page 2, line 5). The selection of a lookup table for the color level quantization of Shin in order to correlate color level quantization to the print media type (analogous to the disclosed correlation of color correction lookup table selection) in order to further optimize the printing process to the print media would be an expedient obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Re claims 92, 98, & 104, Shin discloses the use of a color correction information table having color correction information for a given media type, but does not disclose the use of a margin information table having margin information for a given media type. The use of a margin information (e.g. left margin,

Art Unit: 2624

top margin, vertical and horizontal printable sizes) associated with a given media type (i.e. paper type) is recognized as known Prior Art by Applicant (page 6, lines 10-25). The use of a lookup table containing this margin information for a given media type in addition to the color correction information for the given media type in order to enable automatic optimization of both of these image-printing attributes for a given paper type would be an expedient obvious to one or ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see 10/25/04 Response with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 87-90, 93-96, & 99-102 under 35 USC §102 have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Shin under 35 USC §103.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Brinich at 703-305-4390. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays 7:00-4:30, alternate Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 09/597,403

Art Unit: 2624

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 Customer Service center at 703-306-0377.

If attempts to contact the examiner and the Customer Service Center are unsuccessful, supervisor David Moore can be contacted at 703-308-7452.

Faxes pertaining to this application should be directed to the Tech Center 2600 official fax number, which is 703-872-9306.

Stephen M Brinich

Page 6

Examiner

Art Unit 2624

smb

December 9, 2004